Endangered Resources Review Project Charter

Project Name: Endangered Resources Review Consistency and Efficiency

Date Chartered: March 30, 2012

Expected Completion Date: July 13, 2012

Team Leader: Erin Crain

Background: Any activity the department conducts, funds or approves requires the consideration of the impacts of those activities on threatened and endangered species. (S. 29.604 Wis. Stats.) Staff throughout the department satisfy this requirement by conducting Endangered Resources (ER) reviews as part of permitting, grant and plan approval processes. Consequently, the vast majority (95%) of ER reviews are conducted outside the Bureau of Endangered Resources. Yet, only a small number of DNR staff performing ER reviews have taken training or utilize the screening guidance. This situation has led to non-compliance of the review requirement, as well as inconsistent and inefficient analysis. In turn, this results in delays in permit turn-around time, frustration on the part of department customers, and ineffective, inadequate or unnecessary management on the ground.

Team Goal/Mission: Increase consistency and reduce workload associated with ER reviews by identifying areas of non-compliance and inaccurate analysis, and by providing targeted training and tools to support department staff. Also, the project will develop a mechanism to measure and demonstrate the level of consistency in ER reviews across the department.

Measures to be used to determine success:

- 1. A 10% decrease in the average amount of time DNR staff spend determining take and appropriate avoidance measures.
- 2. A 15% decrease in the amount of lead time in the ER consultation process.
- 3. A 20% increase in satisfaction regarding ER support for DNR staff conducting reviews.
- 4. Identification of tools, training and strategies that simplify the review process and that lead to decreased workload and consistent application of review standards.
- 5. The ability to demonstrate consistency in ER reviews to the regulated community, conservation groups and the general public.

Core Team Members: Angela White, Shari Koslowsky, Stacy Rowe, Drew Feldkirchner, Emma Pelton, Lisie Kitchel, Rori Paloski, Lori Steckervetz, and Brittany Pietrantonio-Davis.

Issues to be addressed:

- 1. Identify factors affecting ER review quality, turn-around time and consistency.
- 2. Explore the efficacy of tools, training and strategies with regard to quality, turn-around time and consistency.
- 3. Develop a mechanism to measure and demonstrate the level of consistency in ER reviews across the department.

Expected results: This project will identify tools, training and strategies that increase consistency and quality of ER reviews across the department, as well as those which decrease the amount of time staff spend on ER reviews as part of other department processes. An implementation plan for these changes and an auditing function will be developed.

Support/resources needed:

- 1. Access to survey support from the Bureau of Science Services.
- 2. Survey, focus group and target training participation by DNR programs.
- 3. A stable NHI data delivery system that enables the extraction of Form ER.

Responsibilities and Boundaries: The team will consider tools, strategies, training and processes that affect the quality of ER reviews and the efficiency of performing the reviews.

Project Name: Endangered Resources Review

Project Team Leader: Erin Crain

Project Purpose: Increase the efficiency and consistency of the endangered resources review process throughout the department.

Project Team Members: Drew Feldkirchner, Lisie Kitchel, Shari Koslowsky, Rori Paloski, Emma Pelton, Brittany Pietrantonio-Davis, Stacy Rowe, Lori Steckervetz, Mia Van Horn and Angela White.

Summary of Improvements: See attached Project Implementation Plan

Project Results:

Goal	Baseline	Target
Reduce DNR staff	Over 50% of staff found	10% decrease in time needed to
workload.	lack of resources to help	determine impacts and identify
	identify impacts and	avoidance measures to be determined
	avoidance measures a	by a follow-up survey.
	major contributing factor to	
	lengthening review	
	completion.	
Reduce Lead	It can currently can take up	15% decrease lead time for ER
(delivery time).	to a week to obtain species	consultation process, ultimately 48
	expert input.	hour response 95% of the time.
-		
Improve Customer	Over 50% of survey	20% increase in DNR staff
Satisfaction.	respondents identified a	satisfaction regarding ER support for
	lack of tools and system	conducting reviews. To be
	reliability as causes for	determined by a follow-up survey
	customer dissatisfaction.	
Simplify the	There is currently no	20% decrease in duplicate reviews to
Process.	mechanism for tracking	be measured though the audit
	duplicate reviews between	function.
	programs.	
Ensure Staff and		
Customer Safety.		

^{*}Improvements are dependent on the successful development of the NHI Portal system that is scheduled to be completed in December of 2012. Specific system features are included in the Project Implementation Plan.



Project Cost:

	Hours	Dollars
Project Team Leader	72	
Project Team Members	156	
Meeting Costs		\$ 0
Improvement Costs		\$ 0 thus far above planned
		for development costs.
Total		\$ 0

Recommendations for Future Code/Statute Changes: New manual code addressing training, documentation and when to conduct a review.

Lessons Learned:

- This is an opportunity for a cultural change within the department.
- The process provides the opportunity to identify department-wide, systemic issues.
- It's a lot of work and requires tenacity!